

Lieutenant Governor

# State of Utah DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director



July 29, 2008

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7005 2570 0000 4801 7260

Dave Shaver Utah American Energy Company P. O. Box 902 Price, Utah 84501

Subject: Proposed Assessment for State Violation No. 10025, Utah American Energy Inc.,

C/007/0041, Outgoing File

Dear Mr. Shaver:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R645-401.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced violation. The violation was issued by Division Inspector, Pete Hess, on June 30, 2008. Rule R645-401-600 et. seq. has been utilized to formulate the proposed penalty. By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this Notice of Violation has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of penalty.

Under R645-401-700, there are two informal appeal options available to you:

1. If you wish to informally appeal the <u>fact of this violation</u>, you should file a written request for an Informal Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. This conference will be conducted by the Division Director. This Informal Conference is distinct from the Assessment Conference regarding the proposed penalty.



Page 2 Dave Shaver C/007/0041 July 29, 2008

2. If you wish to review the proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an Assessment Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. If you are also requesting a review of the fact of violation, as noted in paragraph 1, the Assessment Conference will be scheduled immediately following that review.

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of violation will stand, the proposed penalty(ies) will become final, and the penalty(ies) will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the proposed assessment. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Vickie Southwick.

Sincerely,

Joseph C. Helfrich Assessment Officer

Enclosure

cc:

OSM Compliance Report Vickie Southwick, DOGM

Price Field Office

O:\007041.WR\DRAFT\WG3009\PROPOSED NOV.DOC

| U.S. Postal Service  CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)  For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com.  For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.co |
|--|
| DAVE SHAVER P O BOX 902 PRICE UTAH 84501  PS Form 3800. June 2002  See Reverse for Instructions  |

.

•

# WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING

| COMP                                       | ANY/  | MINE                                  | Utah American Energy   | / Inc. / West Ri  | idge Mine       |                      |  |  |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|-----------------|----------------------|--|--|
| PERM                                       | IT <u>C/0</u>   | 07/041                                | NOV / CO#  | 10025   | VIOLATION       | <u>1</u> of <u>1</u> |  |  |
| ASSES                                      | SSMEN   | T DAT                                 | E July <u>29, 2008</u>   |   |                 |                      |  |  |
| ASSES                                      | SSMEN   | T OFFI                                | CER Joe Helfrich   |   |                 |                      |  |  |
| I.   | HISTO   | ORY (                                 | Max. 25 pts.)  |   |                 |                      |  |  |
|  | <b>A.</b>   |                                       | ere previous violations<br>r of today's date?                              | , which are not   | pending or vac  | ated, which fall one |  |  |
|  | PREV  | IOUS V                                | IOLATIONS  | EFFECTIVE   | DATE            | POINTS               |  |  |
|  |   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |  |   |                 |                      |  |  |
|  |   |                                       | 1 point for each past v<br>5 points for each past<br>No pending notices sl | violation in a (  | CO, up to one ( | l) year              |  |  |
|  |   |                                       |  |   | TOTAL HIS       | TORY POINTS 0        |  |  |
| II.  | SERIC   | DUSNE                                 | SS (Either A or B)   |   |                 |                      |  |  |
|  | NOTE: For assign  |                                       |  | nment of points in Parts II and III, the following apply: |                 |                      |  |  |
|  | 1. Based on facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within each category where the violation falls.  |                                       |  |   |                 |                      |  |  |
|  | 2. Beginning at the mid-point of the category, the Assessment Officer wil adjust the points up or down, utilizing the inspector's and operator's statements as guiding documents. |                                       |  |   |                 |                      |  |  |
|  | Is this an EVENT (A) or HINDRANCE (B) violation? <u>Event</u>   |                                       |  |   |                 | <u>ent</u>           |  |  |
|  | A. <u>EVENT VIOLATION</u> (Max 45 pts.)   |                                       |  |   |                 |                      |  |  |
|  |   | 1.                                    | What is the event whi  | ich the violated  | standard was d  | lesigned to prevent? |  |  |
| Loss of reclamation/revegetation potential |   |                                       |  |   |                 |                      |  |  |

What is the probability of the occurrence of the event which a violated 2. standard was designed to prevent? PROBABILITY **RANGE** None Unlikely 1-9 Likely 10-19 Occurred 20 ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS <u>20</u> PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: \*\*\*According to the information provided in the inspector statement the event occurred. RANGE 0-25 3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage? In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment. ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS <u>0</u> PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: \*\*\* According to the information provided in the inspector statement no damage occurred as a result of the violation. **HINDRANCE VIOLATION** (Max 25 pts.) B. 1. Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement? RANGE 0-25 Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation. ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS \_\_\_0\_\_ PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS: TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 20

## III. <u>NEGLIGENCE</u> (Max 30 pts.)

A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or

lack of reasonable care, or the failure to abate any violation due to the same? IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

> No Negligence Negligence 1-15 Greater Degree of Fault 16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE

#### ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 20

#### PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

\*\*\* According to the information provided in the inspector statement the permittee had been previously notified of the situation. There was a lack of reasonable care on the part of the operator.

## IV. II GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.)

(Either A or B)

(Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures)

A. Did the operator have onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?

IF SO--EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

Immediate Compliance

-11 to -20\*

(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)

Rapid Compliance

-1 to -10

(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

Normal Compliance

(Operator complied within the abatement period required)

(Operator complied with condition and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?

IF SO--DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

#### Difficult Abatement Situation

Rapid Compliance

-11 to -20\*

(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

<sup>\*</sup>Assign in upper of lower half of range depending on abatement occurring the 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.

- Normal Compliance
- -1 to -10\*
- (Operator complied within the abatement period required)
- **Extended Compliance**

(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard of the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete) (Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

| EASY OR | DIFFICULT | ABATEMENT? |  |
|---------|-----------|------------|--|
|---------|-----------|------------|--|

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS <u>0</u>

### PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

\*\*\*To be evaluated upon termination of the violation.

### ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

| NOTICE | OF | VIOLA | TION | # | N | 10025 |
|--------|----|-------|------|---|---|-------|
|--------|----|-------|------|---|---|-------|

- I. TOTAL HISTORY POINTS
- TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS II. 20
- III.
- TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS 20 IV.
- TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS 0 TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS 40

TOTAL ASSESSED FINE \$ 2,200